(not to be destroyed)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

S&A 35-3-(1-3676)
S&A 2-19-(1-4)
S&A 2-19-5794(10)

S&A **File No.** 15 20

1921.

Serial Nos. 1-9

(S&A)

Later references.

S&A 17-21-376
SP1-54C(104)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 7 & 9

Part B for 1921

SUBJECT.

Prayer for reconsideration of the order regarding the Khangadi Bibi of late Nawab Ahmad Pensions.

To

Mrs Khan Sahib No. 7 & 5982/14

Informs her that her prayer for the full pension of Rs 35- drawn by the late Nawab Commander Sometime & that she should draw the pension of Rs 17-8-0 sanctioned to her through the Head of the Family Mr Sher Khan as already ordered

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

G. R. S. III—1] 1920.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SA)

NOTES.

File No. 15/20

FILE HEADING.

Honnali Nawab Family Pension

From Mrs Khanzadi Bibi of Honnali

No. Dated 15-1-21 Received 16-1-21

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
285			7

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays for reconsideration of the Order of 25-6-20

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 15 of 1920.

Serial No.

1) The petitioners request-s are two
(1) that- the might be granted
pension of Rs 35 drawn by the deceased
father Hassan ali - in full, instead
of one half - ie 17/8 as was ordered

Rej. H.

2) that- the might be permitted to
draw her pension from the Try Divl-
instead of receiving it- from Mr Thurlbeck

3) Point No. (1) was very fully discussed
The parties are Sunnis and not-
Shiahs and according the Muh.
Law applicable thereto the daughter
is entitled only on half the father
share and the other half going to
the residuary - as they ordered.
The request- for suspending the full
pension of Rs 35 is not- admissible.

vide pages 5- & of the Repn file.
S&A -29/6/19
Dy

4) Point No (2). The pension of Rs 35-
was being drawn by the late Hassan ali
Khan deceased and it- was ordered
that- the share of the daughter - viz only
sanctioned at- Rs 15 per- shd be paid
to her and the headnumber of the family
(as since enhanced)
As the pension of Rs 17/8 stood sanctioned to

Rej 2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

952 (3)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SH File No. 15 of 1920.

Serial No.

is for life, Mine Secy. has no objection to grant this part of the prayer - viz to be allowed to draw ~~his~~ his pension as a separate roll from the Treasury -

2649/9/2 Yours)

15
9/2/21

5) Another member of the same family Munshi Rushan Ali Khan is also asking for the registry in his own name of his share of the pension. It will then been held that it is not desirable to encourage separate registry of shares. The object with which such registry is asked for is possible to create an independent interest in the share in favour of a particular branch of the family & thus prevent its reversion to the main branch - The papers & orders discouraging separate registry may be put up -

Sd
10-2-21
XY
16
11/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(P.T.O

2452

(4)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 15 . of 1920

Serial No.	
	6) S&A. File No. 2-19-4 containing the Govt of I.'s letter No. 2-20 declining the separate registry of pension to Mr. Rustum Ali Khan is submitted with reference to para: 5 Supra.
	7) The practice does not appear to be uniform, Vide Flag C
	1572 Following the direction of Govt. in ordering that the half share of Hassan ali Khan of Rs 17/8, continued to his daughter shd be divided between the legal members of the family, Mr. Sher Khan has represented that another share of Rs 8/- earned by his father and registered in the name of Basit Ali Khan Ahmed Khan shd similarly be paid to the legal members of the family. Reference is invited in this connection to the Govt's Memo dt 28-12-1920. also.
Flag S	8) There would be some advantage from an audit point of view in having separate registry of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

subsharer, for immediately on the death of the subsharer, the entitlement of the pension drawn by such subsharer ceases automatically depend on the further order of Govt. —

9) The pensions sanctioned for life service are liable to lapse after the death of the person to whom they are given, and no rights are conferred on the rightful heirs of the deceased by a separate registry. For orders.

Secy.)

2701/21/2

10) The opinion of the Chief Secretary is obtained in these cases and the file may be sent here for favour of views on the representations of the Chief & subordinate pensioners of Mrs Family

18
19.2.24

Secy)

24.2.24

18
23/2

11) I do not think that Khanzada Bi is entitled to more than 1/8, which is half the share her father in the pension.

12) Regard direct disbursement, I think the tendency of the later orders, requiring disbursement of subsidiary shares through the head of the family is in the right direction. It maintains the solidarity of the family, and saves a lot of unnecessary labour. ——

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SM File No. 15 of 19 20.

Serial No.

Khazgari Bi's pension may hereafter continue to be disbursed through Mr Sher Khan.

(B) He also request that the share of the pension which was enjoyed by Basiri Bi and which has now been continued to be under me may be disbursed through him. His prayer which was disallowed in the view of law right was that Basiri Bi's share might revert to him for his enjoyment. He now withdraw particular petition and ask that the pension may be paid to him in full that hereafter disburse Basiri Bi's share to her heirs in accordance the above opt. He said that Basiri Bi's children are entitled only themselves and the enjoyment of their mother's share of the pension. In this case — the fact being as recited — the Sher Khan's prayer may be allowed subject to his disbursing the withdrawn shares punctually & without giving any complaint

Submitted

26/2/21

I agree with the above Secy

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAR 10 1921
Reg. No. 5802

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2822 28/2 Secy

Dewan Secy 15.3.21 28/2

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S/A File No. 15 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	Draft endorsement submitted for approval
	Desc 26/3
	29/3
9	To Mst. Khanzadi Bibi, H 5982 dt 1.6.21
	Informs that her prayer for the continuance of the full pension of Rs 35/- drawn by her late father Hassan Dil Khan cannot be sanctioned & the pension of Rs 17/8 sanctioned to her will be paid thro' the Head member of the family Mr. Sher Khan as already ordered

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Presented 15.1.20

D 2952

S/A 15-20-7



To the Financial secretary
To
with comments

Sirdar M. Kantharaj Urs, Esq., B.A., C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Rs 15/1/21

Recd 15/1/21

Honoured Sir,

I, the undersigned Khanzadi Bi daughter of Nawab Hassan Ali Khan Jaman, residing at Honnali, most respectfully beg leave to approach your honour once again with the following for favour of justice and merciful consideration.

I beg to submit that on the 13th March 1920 I submitted an application to your nobleself, detailing therein all, as to the fact of the gravest injustice that has crept in, in the case of your poor daughter (myself) the incapacity of thesaid Sher Khan not only by the ruling principles of Mohammadan Law by his birth but for his undue influence, but also from the glaring inconsistencies that are quite apparent from the records themselves, and also clearing each and every point raised by the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District -- on mere surmises as a matter of fact. That on presentation of this petition Your Nobleself, was graciously pleased to bless me saying that my case was a legitimate one and that I would get the full pension to which I am entitled to on all fours.

I also submitted another representation on the 10th June 1920 praying for early orders in the matter with my further explanation. In the meantime the G.O.

H

G.O. No.Fl.8429-30/Sra.2-19-10 dated the 25th June 1920 has been passed to the effect, that in modification of the previous order of 5th February 20 that I beg granted half of the pension of Rs.35/-, namely Rs.17-8-0, the other half being restored to Sher Khan Jamand.

I respectfully beg to submit that while I was all along expecting an order as per the oral order made by your goodself, this has come to me as a thunder bolt and the said Sher Khan has been persuading me to take the pension as per the said order through him alone.

I now beg to submit that the matter has once again missed your notice. In my humble opinion there is nothing on record which disentitles me to the full pension nor anything in favour of Sher Khan to claim even for a single pie out of it. The main point at issue is, I solemnly declare, the point in favour of Sher khan is only his influence and my helplessness being a Ghosha Lady. This has overtaken all the legalities and just claims of my - poorself.

I may also be permitted to add that to make himself more strong and secure, he has been sending the money, which he has drawn to me . I prefer both death and starvation gladly not only to me but also to my young children than to receive anything from his hands. I am also not aware of the authority that has been vested in the said Sher Khan to draw my portion of the pension from the Treasury while the G.O. is entirely silent on the subject. The question of the family prestige, can better be understood by your honour alone than by my poor and inadequate explanation.

I beg to state that as I have no other go than to

fall upon your merciful feet, I cannot but approach your honour seeking for justice and redress thereby.

I am ready to prove the genuineness of my case in anywise, providdd an opportunity is given to me and also in the very presence of the sher Khan. It is noth with prejudice or oral statements but with genuine and unquestionable documentary evidence and establish in Your Honour's noble heart my right to the full pension and also the fraud that has been practised on me by him. The one sided view taken by the learned Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District and the recommendation made by him on mere imagination inspite of their being quite inconcistent with the records has become an additional instrument to ruin my family.

I now most respectfully pray that Your Noble Heart may once again feel intensely in the case of a poor and helpless Ghosha Lady and do justice in the name of Almighty the God and thus save the family not only from starvation but also from humiliation. That until and unless your honor extends your generous helping hands I am quite sure that my lot will never be bettered. Praying for merciful and just consideration

I beg to remain
Honoured Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore.
15th January 1921.

(X his Signed)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2952

Section

File No. 16/20

Serial No 9

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. Je 5982

To

Date of issue 1st April/21

Signed by

Mst: Khanzadi Bi,
C/o Hamdaji Nawab Family Pensioner,
C/o Jamadar Abdul Majid
near City Railway Station,
Bangalore City

In reply to her petition dt 15.1.21 addressed to the Dewan praying for the grant of pension drawn by her late [illegible] Hasan Ali Khan etc, the petitioner is informed that her prayer cannot be sanctioned.

over.

for the continuance the family pension of Rs 35 drawn by her late father Hasan ali Khan is inadmissible.

1/4/21

~~and that~~ The Shaikh receive the enhanced pension of Rs 17/8 ~~per mensem~~ to her sanctioned in G.O. dt 27.5.21 ~~Rule~~ will be paid to the Thro' the head member of the family Mr. Sher Khan as already ordered. ~~that the Amil pass a receipt to Mr Sher Khan whenever the monthly Rs stipend~~

B.O.
18/29/3
Dr Hl Secy
Amil
26/3

Copy of the above is forwarded to D.C. Shimla & Lempster for information. 1) 2) | 5983-4, dt 1st April/21

B.O.
18
Dr Hl Secy
Amil
26/3

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No Fe 5982 SoA 15-20-9 dt 1st April 24

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

F I N A N C I A L S E C R E T A R I A T .

E N D O R S E M E N T .

To

Mussammat Khanzadi Bi,
Honhali Nawab Family Pensioner,
Care of Jamedar Abdul Majid,
Near City Railway Station,
Bangalore City.

In reply to her petition dated 15th January 1931, the petitioner is informed that her prayer for the continuance of the full pension of Rs.35 drawn by her late father Hassan Ali Khan is inadmissible cannot be sanctioned. The pension of Rs.17-8-0 sanctioned to her in Government order dated 25th June 1930 will be paid to her through the Head member of the family Mr.Sher Khan as already ordered.

" By order "

(Illegible)
1.4.24

for Financial Secretary to Government.

No Fe 5983-49 1/4/24

Copy to D.C. Shimoga & Comptroller for information.

MK.4.

DO

for D.C.